INFORMATION SHEET

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: FILE NUMBER: 15363 REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: At the project site (Y/N): PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION: State: County: Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates: Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres): Name of waterway or watershed: Tulsa Pate																				
SITE CONDITIONS: Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ac				5 ac	5-10 ac 10-			1-25	5 ac 25-50 ac				> 50 ac		Linear		Unl	Unknown	
Type of aquatic resource	0-1	0-1 ac 1-3 ac 3-3		3 ac	ac 5-10 ac		1	ac	25-50 ac			2 00 de		feet		Chkhown				
Lake																				
River																				
Stream	\boxtimes														(5,500				
Dry Wash																				
Mudflat																				
Sandflat					1						Ī							П		
Wetlands					1						Ī							П		
Slough	Ī		同	T	Ī			Ī	1		Ī							Ħ		
Prairie pothole	同		Ħ	ΤĒ	ī	ΙĒ		ΙĒ	1		Ī	_						Ħ		
Wet meadow	同		Ħ	ΤĒ	ī	Ħ		ΙĒ	1		Ī	_						Ħ		
Playa lake	Ħ		Ħ	╅	ī			17	┪		Ħ	1	1					Ħ		
Vernal pool			Ħ	╅	ī			17	┪		Ħ	1	1					Ħ		
Natural pond	Ħ		H	╅╞	1	╁╞		╅	┪		Ħ	=	-					Ħ		
Other water (identify type)]		Ĺ									
¹ Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.																				
Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :							If Kno	own	own							Unknown fessional Judgment				
						Yes		No			Predicted to Occur		Not Expected t Occur		cted to	to Not Able To Make				
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?] [\boxtimes	Occi		Determination				
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that								П		+			\boxtimes			$+$ \Box				
cross state lines?								ш												
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?																				
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?								\boxtimes												
¹ Check appropriate boxes that bes non-navigable, intra-state aquatic				for a	pplica	bility	of the	Migr	ator	y Biro	d R	ule to ap	ply	to ons	ite, nor	ı-jurisd	ictio	nal,	isolated,	
TYPE OF DETERMINATION	٧:		F	Prelin	ninar	y 🖂	or	App	orov	ved										
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (required): 1. Site/Environmental Conditions Total Size of Non-Jurisdictional Water Feature Does water feature extend off of project site? Y/N Would proposed discharge fill entire water feature? Y/N Number of water features determined Non-Jurisdictional Other relevant information																				

- 2. Rationale for determination, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections: The proposed project would impact a non-jurisdictional, isolated, intrastate waterbody, with no nexus to interstate commerce. The basis for this determination is that no hydrologic connectivity to a navigable "water of the United States" could be evidenced. Information reviewed for this determination included the following: USGS 7.5 Minute Topographic map (Tonkawa Quadrangle), Aerial photographs (RAMS GIS 06 June 06).
- 3. Do waters of the U.S. exist on the site? Y/N N